

**PROPOSED SOLUTIONS**  
**HOMEWORK 5**  
**GRA6039 ECONOMETRICS WITH PROGRAMMING**  
**AUTUMN 2020**

EMIL A. STOLTENBERG

**Solutions to Ex. 1.** Assume that  $X_n \rightarrow_p a$  and  $Y_n \rightarrow_p b$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(a) Let  $c$  be a constant not equal to zero (or else it is trivial). For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(|cX_n - ca| \geq \varepsilon) = \Pr(|c||X_n - a| \geq \varepsilon) = \Pr(|X_n - a| \geq \varepsilon/|c|) \rightarrow 0,$$

because  $\Pr(|X_n - a| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus  $cX_n \xrightarrow{p} ca$ .

(b) That

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{p} a + b,$$

is shown in the Lecture notes, under Lecture 5.

(c) To show that  $X_n Y_n \rightarrow_p ab$ , write

$$X_n Y_n - ab = (X_n - a)(Y_n - b) + b(X_n - a) + a(Y_n - b).$$

From (a) we know that both  $b(X_n - a) \rightarrow_p 0$  and that  $a(Y_n - b) \rightarrow_p 0$ , so because of the result in (b) it suffices to show that  $(X_n - a)(Y_n - b) \rightarrow_p 0$ . Since both  $X_n - a \rightarrow_p 0$  and  $Y_n - b \rightarrow_p 0$  we can assume that  $a = b = 0$ . You then ‘only’ need to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\Pr(|X_n Y_n| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ . To show this, mimic the proof of (b).

(d) To show that  $X_n/Y_n \rightarrow_p a/b$  provided  $b \neq 0$ , we can argue as follows. Set  $Z_n = 1/Y_n$ . Then  $Z_n \rightarrow_p 1/b$  by Property PLIM.1. But then  $X_n/Y_n = X_n Z_n \rightarrow_p a/b$  by (c).

**Solutions to Ex. 2.** A random variable  $Y$  has the Poisson distribution with parameter  $\theta$  if its pmf is

$$f_\theta(y) = \frac{1}{y!} \theta^y \exp(-\theta), \quad \text{for } y = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and  $f_\theta(y) = 0$  otherwise. Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be i.i.d. Poisson with parameter  $\theta$ . You might need the following fact about maximum likelihood estimators: If  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the MLE for  $\theta$ , and  $h(x)$  is some real valued function, then  $h(\hat{\theta}_n)$  is the MLE for  $h(\theta)$ .

(a) The MLE for  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}_n = \bar{Y}_n = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  (see hw3 Ex. 1). Since the  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d., and  $E[Y_1] = \theta$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_1) = \theta$ , it follows from the Law of large numbers (Theorem 4.3 in the Lecture notes) that  $\bar{Y}_n \rightarrow_p E[Y_1] = \theta$ . So  $\hat{\theta}_n = \bar{Y}_n$  is consistent for  $\theta$ .

(b)  $\Pr_\theta(Y = 0) = f_\theta(0) = \exp(-\theta)$ . Using the fact about MLE’s given at the start of exercise, the MLE for  $\alpha = \Pr_\theta(Y = 0) = \exp(-\theta)$  is  $\hat{\alpha}_n = \exp(-\hat{\theta}_n)$ .

(c) The function  $g(x) = \exp(-x)$  is continuous, so  $\hat{\alpha}_n = \exp(-\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow_p \exp(-\theta) = \alpha$ , so  $\hat{\alpha}_n$  is consistent for  $\alpha$ .

**Solutions to Ex. 3.** Two random variables with the same cdf have the same distribution. In a previous homework we met the Pareto distribution. Recall that the pdf of the Pareto distribution is

$$f_\alpha(x) = \alpha \frac{x_{\min}^\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}}, \quad \text{for } x \geq x_{\min},$$

and  $f_\alpha(x) = 0$  when  $x < x_{\min}$ , where  $x_{\min} > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . In this exercise, we assume that  $x_{\min}$  is known, while  $\alpha$  is a parameter we want to estimate. Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. from  $f_\alpha(x)$ . If you have not already done so, please show that the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\alpha$  is

$$\hat{\alpha}_n = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i/x_{\min})}.$$

We will now show that  $\hat{\alpha}_n$  is consistent for  $\alpha$ , i.e. that  $\hat{\alpha}_n \rightarrow_p \alpha$ .

(a) Let  $x \geq x_{\min}$  then,

$$F_\alpha(x) = \int_{x_{\min}}^x \frac{x_{\min}^\alpha}{y^{\alpha+1}} dy = - \left[ \frac{x_{\min}^\alpha}{y^\alpha} \right]_{x_{\min}}^x = 1 - \left( \frac{x_{\min}}{x} \right)^\alpha,$$

and  $F_\alpha(x) = 0$  for  $x < x_{\min}$ .

(b) Suppose  $X \sim F_\alpha$ , and set

$$Y = \log(X/x_{\min}).$$

To find the distribution of  $Y$  we find the cdf of  $Y$ . First note that  $Y$  takes its values in  $[0, \infty)$ . For  $y \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(y) &= \Pr(Y \leq y) = \Pr(\log(X/x_{\min}) \leq y) = \Pr\{(X/x_{\min}) \leq \exp(y)\} \\ &= \Pr\{X \leq x_{\min} \exp(y)\} = F_\alpha(x_{\min} \exp(y)) = 1 - \exp(-\alpha y), \end{aligned}$$

and  $F_Y(y) = 0$  for  $y < 0$ .

(c) We recognise  $F_Y(y)$  as the cdf of the exponential distribution with parameter  $\alpha$ . So from hw2 Ex. 5, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(Y) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}.$$

(d) Let  $Y_i = \log(X_i/x_{\min})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since the  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d., the  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. exponentials with expectation  $1/\alpha$  and variance  $1/\alpha^2 < \infty$ . It then follows from the Law of large numbers that  $\bar{Y}_n = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \rightarrow_p 1/\alpha$ .

(e) To see that  $\hat{\alpha}_n$  is consistent for  $\alpha$ , we write

$$\hat{\alpha}_n = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i/x_{\min})} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{Y}_n}.$$

In (c) we saw that  $\bar{Y}_n \rightarrow_p 1/\alpha$ , but  $g(x) = 1/x$  is a continuous function, so from Property PLIM.2, we have that  $\hat{\alpha}_n = 1/\bar{Y}_n \rightarrow 1/(1/\alpha) = \alpha$ .

**Solutions to Ex. 4.** Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with the uniform distribution on  $[0, \theta]$ , i.e. its pdf is  $f(x) = 1/\theta$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \theta$  and zero otherwise. Let  $M_n = \max_{i \leq n} X_i = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be the largest of the observations. In this exercise you need to know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + x/n)^n = \exp(x),$$

for any real number  $x$ .

(a) The cdf of one uniform  $X$  on  $[0, \theta]$  is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x < 0, \\ x/\theta, & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \theta, \\ 1 & \text{for } \theta \leq x. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

See the solution to Ex. 7 in hw2.

(b) That

$$\Pr(M_n \leq x) = F(x)^n.$$

is also in the solution to Ex. 7 in hw2.

(c) Consider the sequence  $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of random variables defined by  $Z_n = n(\theta - M_n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Its cdf  $F_n(z)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(z) &= \Pr(Z_n \leq z) = \Pr\{n(\theta - M_n) \leq z\} = \Pr\{\theta - M_n \leq z/n\} \\ &= \Pr\{-M_n \leq z/n - \theta\} = \Pr\{M_n \geq \theta - z/n\} = 1 - \Pr\{M_n \leq \theta - z/n\} \\ &= 1 - F(\theta - z/n)^n = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{z}{\theta n}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

for  $z \geq 0$  and  $F_n(z) = 0$  for  $z < 0$ .

(d) To show that  $Z_n$  converges in distribution we must show that  $F_n(z)$  converges to some cdf. For  $z > 0$

$$F_n(z) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{z}{\theta n}\right)^n \rightarrow 1 - \exp(-z/\theta).$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But the expression on the right is the cdf of an exponential distribution with expectation  $\theta$  and variance  $\theta^2$  (see hw2 Ex. 5). Thus,  $Z_n \rightarrow_d Z$ , where  $Z$  is a random variable with pdf  $f_{1/\theta}(z) = (1/\theta) \exp(-z/\theta)$  for  $z > 0$ , and  $E[Z] = \theta$ , and  $\text{Var}(Z) = \theta^2$ .

**Solutions to Ex. 5.** Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be i.i.d. random variables with  $E[Y_1] = \theta$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_1) = \tau^2 = 2.34$ , which means that the expectation is unknown while the variance is known. A natural estimator for  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}_n = \bar{Y}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ . Two reasons for this being a natural estimator are that it is unbiased for  $\theta$ , and that it is consistent for  $\theta$ .

(a) Since the  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d.,  $E[Y_1] = \theta$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_1) = \tau^2$ , it follows from the Central limit theorem (CLT) (Theorem 5.4 in the Lecture notes) that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)/\tau \xrightarrow{d} Z,$$

where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , i.e. is a standard normal random variable.

(b) First, run

`norminv(0.95) % = 1.6449`

`norminv(0.05) % = -1.6449`

which tells us that

$$\Pr(-1.6449 \leq Z \leq 1.6449) = \Phi(1.6449) - \Phi(-1.6449) = 0.90.$$

See hw1 Ex. 11 for the first equality. From the CLT we know that

$$\Pr(-1.6449 \leq \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)/\tau \leq 1.6449) \approx \Pr(-1.6449 \leq Z \leq 1.6449) = 0.90, \quad (2)$$

so after rearranging,

$$\Pr(\hat{\theta}_n - 1.6449 \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \theta \leq \hat{\theta}_n + 1.6449 \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}}) \approx 0.90.$$

The lower bound is therefore  $\hat{\theta}_n - 1.6449\tau/\sqrt{n}$  and the upper bound is  $\hat{\theta}_n + 1.6449\tau/\sqrt{n}$ . A realisation of the interval

$$[\hat{\theta}_n - 1.6449\tau/\sqrt{n}, \hat{\theta}_n + 1.6449\tau/\sqrt{n}],$$

is a 90% confidence interval.

(c) The data in `hw5_data.txt` gives the interval `[3.1723, 3.4239]`. Here is the Matlab code

```
cd("your path");
data = readmatrix("hw5_data.txt");
y = data(:,1);
n = length(y);
thetahat = mean(y);
LL = thetahat + sqrt(2.34/n)*norminv(0.05)
UU = thetahat + sqrt(2.34/n)*norminv(0.95)
```

(d) Here is the Matlab code. Run it a few times and see how `mean(contains)` is always close to 0.90. Try it out for smaller and larger sample sizes  $n$ , i.e. change `n = 50`. The approximation in (2) becomes better with larger  $n$ , try to see how `mean(contains)` might jump more from simulation to simulation when  $n$  is small, while it is very close to 0.90 in each simulation when  $n$  is big.

```
a = 2*2.34;
b = 1/sqrt(2);
n = 50;
sims = 1000
contains = zeros(1,sims);
for i = 1:sims
    y = gamrnd(a,b,1,n);
    Ln = mean(y) + sqrt(a*b^2/n)*norminv(0.05)
    Un = mean(y) + sqrt(a*b^2/n)*norminv(0.95)

    contains(i) = 1*((Ln <= a*b)&(a*b <= Un));
end

mean(contains)
```

**Solutions to Ex. 5.** Simulate a conditional probability. Consider a fair die: Its possible outcomes are  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , and it being fair means that  $\Pr(X = j) = 1/6$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ , where  $X$  is the random variable we associate with a roll of a die. Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and  $B = \{1, 3, 5\}$  be two events.

(a)  $A \cap B = \{1, 3\}$ .

$$\Pr(A | B) = \frac{\Pr(A \cap B)}{\Pr(B)} = \frac{\Pr(\{1, 3\})}{\Pr(\{1, 3, 5\})} = \frac{2/6}{3/6} = \frac{2}{3},$$

where we use the definition of conditional probability, and that the die is fair. (b) In the script below we use simulations to check the answer from (a). In other words, we *estimate*  $\Pr(A | B)$  on simulated data. Understand the code, run it a few times, and check you answer from (a).

```
x = datasample(1:6,100,"Replace",true);
inA = zeros(1,length(x)); inB = zeros(1,length(x));
for i = 1:length(x)
    if x(i) <= 3
        inA(i) = 1;
    end
    if (x(i) == 1)|(x(i) == 3)|(x(i) == 5)
        inB(i) = 1;
    end
end
end

mean(inA.*inB)/mean(inB)
```

The function `datasample` samples from the numbers  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  with uniform probability. The symbol `|` reads ‘or’, as in the union of two sets.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS, BI NORWEGIAN BUSINESS SCHOOL  
 Email address: [emil.a.stoltenberg@bi.no](mailto:emil.a.stoltenberg@bi.no)