

**PROPOSED SOLUTION TO THE FINAL EXAM
GRA6039 AUTUMN 2020**

EMIL A. STOLTENBERG

EXERCISE 1

(a). Mathematically: $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a random variable, while θ is a fixed number. Epistemologically: With data, we can realise $\hat{\theta}_n$, that is, compute a value for it; the value of the parameter θ , on the other hand, will never be fully known.

(b). The estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is unbiased for θ if $E\hat{\theta}_n = \theta$. (This must be true for every θ , but we give full score to this who mention just the first line here).

(c). That $\hat{\theta}_n$ is consistent for θ , means that we can pick n such that $\hat{\theta}_n$ gets arbitrarily close to θ with arbitrarily high probability. You don't need to spell it out like this, it suffices to say that: $\hat{\theta}_n$ is consistent for θ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Pr(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(d). If $E\hat{\theta}_n = \theta$, and $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, Chebyshev's inequality (Lemma 4.2 in the Lecture notes) gives that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Pr(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which means that $\hat{\theta}_n \rightarrow_p \theta$.

(e). Use that $F_n(x)$ is 1-to-1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{F_n^{-1}(1/4) \leq \hat{\theta}_n \leq F_n^{-1}(3/4)\} &= \Pr\{\hat{\theta}_n \leq F_n^{-1}(3/4)\} - \Pr\{\hat{\theta}_n \leq F_n^{-1}(1/4)\} \\ &= \Pr\{\hat{\theta}_n \leq F_n^{-1}(3/4)\} - \Pr\{\hat{\theta}_n \leq 1/4\} \\ &= F(F_n^{-1}(3/4)) - F(F_n^{-1}(1/4)) = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

EXERCISE 2

(a). To find the expectation and variance, we start by finding EX^k , then evaluate for $k = 1, 2$, and use that $\text{Var}(X) = EX^2 - (EX)^2$.

$$EX^k = \int_0^\theta x^k \frac{1}{\theta} dx = \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1}{\theta} \Big|_0^\theta x^{k+1} = \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1}{\theta} \theta^{k+1} = \frac{\theta^k}{k+1},$$

from which we get

$$EX = \frac{\theta}{2},$$

and

$$\text{Var}(X) = \frac{\theta^2}{3} - \frac{\theta^2}{4} = \frac{\theta^2}{12}.$$

(b). The cdf of X is

$$F_X(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\theta} dy = \frac{x}{\theta}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < \theta,$$

and $F_X(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ and $F_X(x) = 1$ for $x \geq \theta$.

(c). Let $Y_n = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, with X_1, \dots, X_n independent replicates of X . If $Y_n \leq y$, then $X_1 \leq y$ and $X_2 \leq y$ and $\dots X_n \leq y$, for if one of the X_i were bigger than y , then $Y_n > y$. This means that

$$\{Y_n \leq y\} \subset \{X_1 \leq y\} \cap \dots \cap \{X_n \leq y\}.$$

Conversely, if $X_i \leq y$ for every i , then the biggest of the X_i is clearly smaller than y , so $Y_n = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq y$, which means that

$$\{Y_n \leq y\} \supset \{X_1 \leq y\} \cap \dots \cap \{X_n \leq y\}.$$

Inclusion both ways entails that the sets are equal.

Answers along the lines of ‘Since Y_n is the biggest of the X_i ’s, it can only be smaller than y if all the X_i are smaller than y ’, should give full score.

(d). The X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, and $X_i \sim F_X(x)$ for each i . Using what we found in the previous exercise and this independence,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Y_n}(y) &= \Pr(Y_n \leq y) = \Pr(\{X_1 \leq y\} \cap \dots \cap \{X_n \leq y\}) \\ &= \Pr(X_1 \leq y) \cdots \Pr(X_n \leq y) = F_X(y) \cdots F_X(y) = \frac{y}{\theta} \cdots \frac{y}{\theta} = \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in [0, \theta)$, and clearly $F_{Y_n}(y) = 0$ for $y < 0$, and $F_{Y_n}(y) = 1$ for $y \geq \theta$.

(e). Start by finding the pdf of Y_n , say $f_{Y_n}(y)$. It is

$$f_{Y_n}(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_{Y_n}(y) = \frac{n}{\theta} \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{n-1},$$

for $0 \leq y \leq \theta$, and $f_{Y_n}(y) = 0$ outside this interval. Then $E Y_n^k$ is

$$\begin{aligned} E Y_n^k &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^k f_{Y_n}(y) dy = \int_0^{\theta} y^k \frac{n}{\theta} \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{n-1} dy = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \int_0^{\theta} y^{n+k-1} dy \\ &= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \frac{1}{n+k} \Big|_0^{\theta} y^{n+k} = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \frac{1}{n+k} \theta^{n+k} = \frac{n\theta^k}{n+k}. \end{aligned}$$

We then find that

$$E Y_n = \frac{n\theta}{n+1},$$

and that

$$\text{Var}(Y_n) = E Y_n^2 - (E Y_n)^2 = \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2} - \frac{n^2\theta^2}{(n+1)^2} = \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}.$$

Now,

$$E\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{n+1}{n} EY_n = \frac{n+1}{n} \frac{n}{n+1} \theta = \theta,$$

which shows that $\hat{\theta}_1$ is unbiased for θ . The variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$ is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} \text{Var}(Y_n) = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}.$$

(f). We have seen that $E X_i = \theta/2$ for each i . The estimator $\hat{\theta}_2 = (2/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is unbiased,

$$E\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E X_i = \frac{2}{n} \frac{n\theta}{2} = \theta,$$

and, since the X_i 's are independent, the variance of this estimator is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{4}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{4}{n^2} \frac{n\theta^2}{12} = \frac{\theta^2}{3n},$$

(g). Both estimators are unbiased, so we ought to use the estimator with the lower variance.

$$\frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2)}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1)} = \frac{\theta^2/(3n)}{\theta^2/\{n(n+2)\}} = \frac{n(n+2)}{3n} = \frac{n+2}{3} \geq \frac{4}{3} > 1,$$

because $n \geq 2$. This shows that $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) < \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2)$ for all values of θ , so $\hat{\theta}_1$ is a better estimator than $\hat{\theta}_2$.

EXERCISE 3

We have $S_{t_j} = S_0 \exp(\sigma B_{t_j})$ with $B_{t_j} = (1/\sqrt{n}) \sum_{i=1}^j Z_i$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and Z_1, \dots, Z_n are independent $N(0, 1)$. Then

$$Y_{t_j} = \log S_{t_j} = \log S_0 + \sigma B_{t_j},$$

and

$$Y_{t_j} - Y_{t_{j-1}} = \sigma \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_j.$$

It is given in the exercise that if $W \sim N(0, 1)$, then $EW^3 = 0$ and $EW^4 = 3$. We use this without comment in the following.

(a). The expectation of Z_j^2 is $E Z_j^2$. Therefore, using linearity of expectation

$$E\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n E(Y_{t_j} - Y_{t_{j-1}})^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E Z_j^2 = \sigma^2,$$

which shows that $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is unbiased. Since $E Z_j^2 = 1$, and

$$\text{Var}(Z_j^2) = E[Z_j^4] - (E[Z_j^2])^2 = 3 - 1 = 2,$$

it follows from the Law of large numbers (Theorem 4.3 in the Lecture notes) that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j^2 \xrightarrow{p} 1,$$

and we conclude that $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \rightarrow_p \sigma^2$, that is, $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is *consistent* for σ^2 . The really meticulous student might here point to PLIM.2 (Lemma 5.2 in the Lecture notes, or Wooldridge (2019, p. 723)), treating σ^2 is a (constant) sequence, but that is not necessary for a full score on this exercise.

(b). We have seen that $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma^2 Z_j^2 = \bar{X}_n$, which is the empirical average of X_1, \dots, X_n , where $X_j = \sigma^2 Z_j^2$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and identically distributed random variables with expectation σ^2 and variance

$$\text{Var}(X_j) = \text{Var}(\sigma^2 Z_j^2) = \sigma^4 \text{Var}(Z_j^2) = 2\sigma^4.$$

We can therefore use the Central limit theorem (Theorem 5.5 in the Lecture notes, or Wooldridge (2019, p. 724)), to conclude that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)}{(2\sigma^4)^{1/2}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(c). The convergence in distribution result above entails that when n is big,

$$\Pr\{\Phi^{-1}(0.025) \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2} \leq \Phi^{-1}(0.975)\} \approx 0.95.$$

An approximate 95 percent confidence interval is then

$$\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}\hat{\sigma}_n^2}{\sqrt{2}\Phi^{-1}(0.975) + \sqrt{n}}, \frac{\sqrt{n}\hat{\sigma}_n^2}{\sqrt{2}\Phi^{-1}(0.025) + \sqrt{n}} \right],$$

where $\Phi^{-1}(p)$ is the inverse of the standard normal cdf $\Phi(z)$, so that $\Phi^{-1}(0.975) = -\Phi^{-1}(0.025) = 1.96$. We tacitly assume that n is so that $\sqrt{n} \geq 1.96\sqrt{2} = 2.77$, which is okay, and needs no comment.

(d). Test: Reject $H_0: \sigma^2 = 2.34$ vs. $H_A: \sigma^2 > 2.34$, at approximately the 5 percent significance level, if

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - 2.34)}{\sqrt{2}(2.34)} \geq \Phi^{-1}(0.95).$$

The student might verify that the Type I error probability is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\text{Type I error}) &= \Pr_{2.34}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - 2.34)}{\sqrt{2}(2.34)} \geq \Phi^{-1}(0.95)\right\} \\ &\approx 1 - \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(0.95)) = 1 - 0.95 = 0.05, \end{aligned}$$

but it is not required for a full score.

REFERENCES

Wooldridge, J. M. (2019). *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach. Seventh Edition*. Cengage Learning, Boston, MA.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS, BI NORWEGIAN BUSINESS SCHOOL
 Email address: emil.a.stoltenberg@bi.no